

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,151	08/28/2001	Eric Chapoulaud	ORM-156CI	4585
26875 WOOD HERI	7590 12/10/2007 20N & EVANS 11 D		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
441 VINE STE CINCINNATI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3732	
0	, 011 10101			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>. </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•. ·		09/941,151	CHAPOULAUD ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melba Bumgarner	3732	
- The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It does not not seem to be seen the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This 3) ☐ Sinc	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Sec</u> s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This be this application is in condition for allowar active under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition o	of Claims			
4a) (5)	m(s) <u>120-132</u> is/are pending in the applicat Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>120-132</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application F	Papers			
10) The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accellicant may not request that any objection to the clacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)			·	
2) Notice of E 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 120-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. (5,975,893) in view of Lehmann et al. (6,575,751). Chishti et al. discloses a method of providing a custom orthodontic appliance for repositioning teeth of a patient comprising providing for display on a computer screen, with interaction by an operator (user), data of images of the teeth of the patient in suggested post-treatment tooth positions and orientations (final digital data set) based on three-dimensional information of the shapes of the teeth (column 5 line 37), receiving feedback information from a person (treating professional), other than the operator, and providing a custom orthodontic appliance configured to reposition teeth based on the suggested post-treatment tooth positions and orientations. It is noted that the interactive step is written in the past tense, and interactivity can be interpreted as with the computer system. Furthermore, there is suggestion as to various times when "users" can provide feedback as in information to modify (change) or accept (not change) tooth positions and orientations in obtaining post-treatment tooth positions and orientations (columns 4-7, 9-14). However, Lehmann et al. is used to teach a situation in which the person, treating professional, or orthodontic practitioner (dentist) does not have access to the computerized site and uses the services of another such as that of the operator, user, or laboratory, and interactivity is present in

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151

Art Unit: 3732

the method of providing a custom dental appliance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the person who has interactively viewed a display of the images as understood as in Lehmann et al. in order to enable the person to save time and effort in communicating with the laboratory operator in view of Lehmann et al. As changes are incorporated, it is redisplayed.

Response to Amendment

3. The declaration filed on September 19, 2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Lehman reference. It is not clear what the evidence states with respect to the claimed subject matter and whether the declaration includes the elements of the reference it is trying to overcome. In particular, paragraph of page 4 is not understood as to its relevance to the subject matter of these claims. Furthermore, the declaration does not identify the individuals comprising the applicant for all the claims of the claimed subject matter and the affidavit/declaration should be executed by each of those individuals.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Suha Bungamer

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner